## **Exhibit B**

## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF MARYLAND, NORTHERN DIVISION

		*	
THE JOHNS HOPKINS AND ARROW INTERNAT		*	
Plaintiffs,		* CIVIL NOS.	: WDQ-05-0759 WDQ-06-2711
V.		*	WDQ-00-2711
DATASCOPE CORPORAT	CION,	*	
Defendant.		*	FILED
		*	- LODGE FATERES
* * * *	* * *	* *	* JUN 1 5 2007
	VERD	ICT FORM	LERK U.S. DISTRICT COURT
			DEPUTY
1. Inducing Infrin	gement		
Datascope		induced infrin	e evidence, that gement of the
Claim 1	YES_X		NO
Datascope		induced infrin	e evidence, that gement of the
Claim 16	S YES X		NO
answer the Do you fin Datascope	following qu d, by a prepo has actively	estion: nderance of th	ion 1(b), then e evidence, that gement of any of the
Claim 1 Claim 2 Claim 3	7 YES X		NONO

(d)	Do you find, by a preponderance of the evidence, that Datascope has actively induced infringement of the following claim of the '704 Patent?		
	Claim 1 YESX	NO	
(e)	If you answered "Yes" above answer the following question Do you find, by a preponder Datascope has actively indufollowing claims for the '7	on: ance of the evidence, that ced infringement of any of the	
2. Cont	Claim 3 YES X Claim 4 YES X Claim 5 YES X Claim 6 YES X Claim 7 YES X Claim 15 YES X Claim 16 YES X Claim 17 YES X Claim 17 YES X Claim 17 YES X Claim 18 YES X ributing to Infringement	NO NO NO NO NO	
(a)	Do you find, by a preponder Datascope has contributed to following claim of the '191	to the infringement of the	
	Claim 1 YES X	NO	
(b)	Do you find, by a preponder Datascope has contributed to following claim for the '55	to the infringement of the	
	Claim 16 YES X	NO	
(c)		ion: cance of the evidence, that to the infringement of any of	
	Claim 17 YES X Claim 27 YES X Claim 34 YES X	NO NO	

	(d)	Datascope has con		the evidence, that infringement of the e?	
		Claim 1 YES_	X	NO	
	(e)	answer the follow Do you find, by a Datascope has con	a preponderance of	the evidence, that nfringement of any of	
		Claim 3 YES_Claim 4 YES_Claim 5 YES_Claim 6 YES_Claim 7 YES_Claim 15 YES_Claim 16 YES_Claim 17 YES_Claim 17 YES_Claim 18 YES_Claim 18 YES_Claim 18	X X X X X	NO N	
3.	Inva:	lidity of the Pat	ents		
	(a)	convincing evide	Datascope proved, nce, that the fold d because of obvio	lowing claim of the '19	1
		Claim 1 YES_		NOX	
	(b)	convincing evide	Datascope proved nce, that the fold d because of obvio	lowing claim of the '55	51
		Claim 16 YES		иоХ	
	(c)	answer the follo Do you find that convincing evide	wing question: Datascope proved	lowing claims of the	
		Claim 17 YES Claim 27 YES Claim 34 YES		NO	

(d)	Do you find that Datase convincing evidence, the Patent is invalid because	nat the followin	g claim of the '70
	Claim 1 YES		10X
(e)	If you answered "Yes" a the following question Do you find that Datase convincing evidence, the '704 Patent are invalid	: cope proved, by nat the followin	clear and g claims of the
	Claim 3 YES Claim 4 YES Claim 5 YES Claim 6 YES Claim 7 YES Claim 15 YES Claim 16 YES Claim 17 YES Claim 17 YES Claim 17 YES Claim 18 YES		10 10 10 10 10 10 10
4. Dama	ges		
(a)	If you have found that contributed to the inficlaim, what amount of Arrow has proven by a	ringement of at damages, if any,	least one valid do you find that
	\$ 460,583.		
(b)	If you have found that contributed to the inf claim, what amount of Johns Hopkins has provevidence?	ringement of at damages, if any,	least one valid do you find that
	\$ 230,292		
<b>⊘</b> /Date	5/07	Kelly Models Jury Foreperson	nard